Case 2:24-mc-00003-KJM-DB Docume	nt 22 Filed 04/12/24 Page 1 of 3
LINITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
Enoteki piot	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
B&G FOODS NORTH AMERICA,	No. 2:24-mc-0002 KJM DB
Plaintiff,	10. 2.21 Me 0002 RSM DB
v.	
KIM EMBRY AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC.,	
Defendants.	
NOAM GLICK,	
Movant	
B&G FOODS NORTH AMERICA,	No. 2:24-mc-0003 KJM DB
Plaintiff,	
V.	
KIM EMBRY AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC.,	
Defendants.	
JAKE SCHULTE,	
Movant.	
	1
	1

Case 2:24-mc-00003-KJM-DB Document 22 Filed 04/12/24 Page 2 of 3

1	B&G FOODS NORTH AMERICA,	No. 2:24-mc-0011 KJM DB	
2	Plaintiff,		
3	v.		
4	KIM EMBRY AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC.,		
5	Defendants.		
6	SHAUN MARKLEY,		
7	Movant		
8			
9			
10	B&G FOODS NORTH AMERICA,	No. 2:24-mc-0014 KJM DB	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	V.	ORDER	
13	KIM EMBRY AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC.,		
14	Defendants.		
15	CRAIG NICHOLAS,		
16	Movant		
17			
18	These related cases are set for hearing before the undersigned on April 12, 2024, for		
19	hearing of movants' motions to quash pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(1). On March 29, 2024, the		
20	parties filed an omnibus Joint Statement. (ECF No. 17 ¹ .) On April 8, 2024, plaintiff filed a		
21	motion for leave to file a supplemental statement. (ECF No. 19.)		
22	Plaintiff's motion asserts that "[a]fter filing the Joint Statement, B&G learned of		
23	additional evidence of spoilation[.]" (Id. at 2.) Specifically, plaintiff learned that defendant		
24	Environmental Health Advocates "destroyed the email accounts of each of its employees who had		
25	investigated the claims against B&G Foods." (Id.) Plaintiff asserts that "Defendants did not		
26	provide the evidence until after the parties' Joint Statement was filed." (Id.)		
27			
28	¹ This order will cite to the docket from case No. 2:24-mc-0002 KJM DB.		

Case 2:24-mc-00003-KJM-DB Document 22 Filed 04/12/24 Page 3 of 3

On April 10, 2024, movants filed an opposition to plaintiff's motion. (ECF No. 20.) The undersigned finds movants' opposition well-taken. In this regard, although plaintiff alleges the information was not obtained until after the Joint Statement was filed on March 29, 2024, it appears from plaintiff's own evidence cited in support of the motion that the information was provided in defendants' discovery responses served on February 22, 2024. (ECF No. 19-1 at 2; ECF No. 19-2 at 22.) Moreover, information related to deactivated email accounts seems, at most, tangentially related to the pending motion to quash which concerns plaintiff's attempt to depose defense counsel.

Nonetheless, out of an abundance of caution, plaintiff's motion will be granted. The undersigned will consider plaintiff's supplemental filing, ECF No. 19-2 at 3-4, in evaluating the pending motions to quash.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's April 8, 2024 motion for leave to file a supplemental statement is granted.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: April 11, 2024

__

DLB:6

DB/orders/orders.civil/b&g002.sup.brief.ord